Feeding Behavior of *Nephotettix virescens* (Homoptera: Cicadellidae) on Rice Varieties with Different Levels of Resistance
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**ABSTRACT** The feeding behavior of *Nephotettix virescens* (Distant) on rice varieties with different levels of resistance was studied using an AC electronic monitor for insect feeding (EMIF) system. Varieties used were TN1 (susceptible), IR36 (moderately resistant), and ASD7, IR60, and IR64 (resistant). Six typical waveforms were recorded and each type corresponded to a certain activity of the insect during the feeding process as indicated by histological sections of the leaf observed. Significantly more phloem feeding was observed on the susceptible plants than on the moderately resistant and resistant plants. Fifty percent of the total test period was spent feeding on the susceptible variety and only 25% on the resistant varieties.
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The leafhopper *Nephotettix virescens* (Distant) is an important pest of rice throughout South and Southeast Asia. It damages the rice plant by sucking the plant sap and is the most efficient vector of rice tungro virus.

The feeding behavior of this insect is an important consideration in studying transmission of rice tungro virus. The insect can feed on the xylem and phloem sap, but studies have shown that it feeds mainly in the xylem on resistant plants and (after the first 3 h) in the phloem on susceptible plants (Auclair et al. 1982). The ability of the insect to transmit the virus is positively correlated with the amount of phloem feeding, indicating that phloem feeding is necessary for rice tungro virus infection (Heinrichs & Rapusas 1984). Thus, varieties resistant to *N. virescens* are a poor source of rice tungro virus inoculum in the field although such varieties may not be immune to the infection (Cabunagan et al. 1984).

The AC electronic monitor for insect feeding (EMIF) has been used to study probing, salivation, and ingestion by aphids (McLean & Kinsey 1964, 1965; McLean 1977; Hodges & McLean 1969; Nelson & Don 1974) and by leafhoppers (Chang 1978). A similar system, fitted with a log amplifier, has been used to study the feeding behavior of the rice leafhopper *Nephotettix cincticeps* (Uhler) (Kawabe & McLean 1982, Kawabe 1985) and *Nilaparvata lugens* (Stål) (Velusamy & Heinrichs 1986). A DC-battery monitor has been used by Saxena & Kahn (1985).

In this study, the feeding behavior of *N. virescens* on rice varieties with different levels of resistance to the insect was determined using the EMIF system. Site of feeding within leaf tissue as determined by the EMIF system was confirmed through histological examination.

**Materials and Methods**

Five rice varieties with different levels of resistance to *N. virescens* were used in this test. The test varieties were TN1 (susceptible—S); IR36 (moderately resistant—MR); and ASD7, IR60 and IR64 (resistant—R). Seven-day-old seedlings of the test varieties were planted in clay pots (12 cm diameter) and placed in a water tray in the greenhouse. Plantings were staggered to provide a steady supply of 20- to 30-d-old plants throughout the experimental period.

Test insects were obtained from a colony reared on TN1 for about 30 generations in the greenhouse. Ten insects were used for each variety, and each insect was tested for 3 h.

**Preparation of the Insects.** The insects were first anesthetized with carbon dioxide gas. Then, before the insect recovered, one end of a gold wire (20 μ diameter and about 5 cm long) was attached to the dorsum of the insect with electroconductive paint (Dotite D-550). The other end of the gold wire was connected to the EMIF system, and the insect was placed on the leaf of a potted rice plant, which was connected to the system (Kawabe & McLean 1982).

The EMIF system used in this study consisted of a strip chart recorder, an oscilloscope, and an actograph ME-1221 (Medical Electronic Commercial Company, Tokyo) (Kawabe et al. 1981). The actograph recorded variations of electrical conductance, between the plant and insect, during feeding.
Table 1. Salivary sheaths found ending in expected tissue sites

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Expected tissue site</th>
<th>Waveform</th>
<th>Total no. specimens sectioned</th>
<th>No. salivary sheaths found in expected tissue site</th>
<th>% Salivary sheaths found in expected tissue site</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mesophyll</td>
<td>R &amp; S</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>92.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xylem</td>
<td>Ix</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>96.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phloem</td>
<td>Ip and TIp</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>92.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

through a current-sensitive amplifier. An oscillator
on the actograph provided a high frequency AC
signal that prevented responses by the insect to the
current applied to the plant. Also, it minimized
problems of electrical noise generated by other
electrical equipment in the vicinity. Oscillation
voltage was 0.5 V and signal frequency was 500
Hz. The logarithmic amplifier in the actograph at-
tenuated high amplitude signals such as those that
occurred during salivation. An electrical circuit was
completed between the insect, plant, and actograph
every time the insect probed into the plant tissue.
The strip chart recorder moved at a speed of 2
cm/min and graphically recorded the voltage fluc-
tuations in the circuit. Sensitivity of the strip chart
recorder was 0.1 to 0.2 V. The oscilloscope was
used to visualize the voltage fluctuations thereby
acting as a check for the strip chart recorder. It
was also useful in trouble shooting the whole sys-
tem. A closed-circuit TV system connected to the
EMIF was used to observe the activity of the insect.

Classification of Waveforms. Feeding activities
of the insect caused different patterns or trends in
voltage fluctuations. These were recorded on the
graphs of the strip chart recorder and were used in
determining the occurrence of the different activ-
ities and in computing the time spent for each
activity.

The time spent by the insect in each activity was
obtained by measuring the length of each of the
waveforms corresponding to each activity on the
strip chart graph. This was done by taking the
length in centimeters of the waveforms and divid-
ing it by 2 because the chart moved at a constant
speed of 2 cm/min. Then the percentage of time
spent on each activity was computed as \( \% \text{ time} = \frac{\text{time spent on the activity}}{\text{total time of test period}} \times 100 \). To relate the waveforms to feeding activity,
histological examinations were made of the leaf
sections fed upon by the insect. These were done
by allowing the insect to produce a particular
waveform (through the system), after which the
insect was removed from the leaf. A 1-cm “leaf
portion” where the insect probed when the wave-
form was produced was removed and prepared for
histological examination. Only leaf portions in
which one probe was made were examined.

Leaf portions were cut into 25-μ-thick sections
and stained with a safranin–light green differential
stain combination. Salivary sheaths and xylem were
stained red and the other tissues were green.

The mounted plant sections were examined using
a compound microscope to determine the loca-
tion of salivary sheath termination. The occur-
rence of each activity within the test period also
was determined using the graphs and counter
checked with the histological results.

Honeydew Excretion. The frequency of hon-
eydew droplets excreted by the insect was observed
using a closed-circuit TV system. The enlarged im-
age of the insect on the TV screen aided in the
observation of honeydew droplets as they were
being excreted by the insect during ingestion. Ex-
cretion of honeydew was recorded by the use of a
pre-programmed pocket computer that indicated
the time at which each drop was excreted. Because
the graph in the strip chart recorder moved at a
constant rate of 2 cm/min, events recorded in the
computer could be matched with the patterns on
the strip chart recorder. The total number of hon-
eydew droplets excreted by each insect was deter-
mined and rate per minute was computed and
compared between xylem and phloem feeding.

The amount of \( N. \ virescens \) excretion on R and
S cultivars was also measured using the bromocre-
solexicator paper method (Pathak & Heinrichs 1982).
A 1-d-old \( N. \ virescens \) adult was placed on a plant
in each of 20 honeydew collection chambers per
variety and allowed to feed for 20 h. The honeydew
excreted was collected on the filter paper and the
amount was determined by measuring the area of
the honeydew spots on the filter paper and ex-
pressed as square millimeters. Honeydew spots with
a blue color were measured separately to determine
the amount of phloem feeding by the insect in
comparison to that of xylem feeding as indicated by
yellow spots. Statistical analyses consisted of an
analysis of variance with means separated with
Duncan’s (1955) multiple range test using the IR-
RISTAT Program on a personal computer.

Results

Classification of Waveforms. Six typical wave-
forms were recorded by the strip chart recorder
through the EMIF system when the leafhopper
probed and fed on the rice plant. Based on infer-
ces from observations and other researchers’ work,
each type of waveform corresponded to a certain
activity and location of the insect during the feed-
ing process. These locations and probable activities
were identified through histological sections of the
leaf where the insect fed when the specific wave-
form was produced. The number of leaf tissue spec-
imens examined for each waveform and the per-
centage of salivary sheaths found in the expected
tissue site are indicated in Table 1.

S-waveform (Fig. 1A)—produced during sali-
vation and the stylets were observed to have ter-
minated in the mesophyll.
Fig. 1. Waveforms recorded by the EMIF system while *N. virescens* fed on rice plants. (A) Reading from right to left, the first portion of the sequence labeled S (salivation) is actually from three probes made by the insect. The first two probes end immediately after producing some S waves while the third continued into the Ix waveform (xylem feeding). (B) A probe going into a short period of S before going into a slowly expanding, wavelike pattern called TIp (trial ingestion from the phloem). (C) A portion of a sequence of waveforms showing TIp, then a very short period of S before going into sustained Ip (phloem ingestion), on susceptible plants.
Fig. 2.  (A) A salivary sheath terminating in the xylem vessels (p, phloem; x, xylem; st, salivary sheath). (B) A salivary sheath reached the phloem tissue but there was no ingestion as indicated in the waveform produced. (C) A salivary sheath terminating in the phloem tissues with phloem sap ingestion. (D) A salivary sheath that broke into an airspace during rest periods of the insect (a, airspace; vb, vascular bundle; st, salivary sheath).
Fig. 3. Waveforms recorded by the EMIF system while *N. virescens* fed on rice plants. (A) Reading from right to left, a probe going into a short S (salivation) period before going into R (rest) waveforms with more short S periods in between. (B) A portion of Ix (xylem ingestion) wherein *N. virescens* when feeding withdraws its stylet from the plant resulting in a period of NF (not feeding). The short period of S between the NF portions may have been an unsuccessful attempt by the insect to probe. In the end, the insect is able to make a probe, which continued into S before producing the TIp (trial ingestion from the phloem) waveform. (C) S and Ix waveforms as recorded by the EMIF system with *N. virescens* on a resistant plant. P indicates probes made by the insect that are of short duration and with minimal S. Portions labeled NF are relatively long nonfeeding periods between probes. Short, frequent probes and long NF periods are characteristics of waveforms produced by *N. virescens* on resistant plants. The last probe goes into S, then to Ix and TIp although the recording shown does not extend to the TIp waveform.
Table 2. Time spent on the different activities and number of probes made by *N. virescens* when fed on rice varieties with different levels of resistance during a 3-h period (average of 10 insects)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variety</th>
<th>Ip</th>
<th>TIp</th>
<th>Ix</th>
<th>R</th>
<th>S</th>
<th>No. probes/insect</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ASD7 (Res.)</td>
<td>0.0b</td>
<td>26.4bc</td>
<td>40.8a</td>
<td>47.5a</td>
<td>32.4ab</td>
<td>20.2b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IR60 (Res.)</td>
<td>0.0b</td>
<td>0.4c</td>
<td>34.2a</td>
<td>21.9ab</td>
<td>30.0ab</td>
<td>30.1ab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IR64 (Res.)</td>
<td>0.0b</td>
<td>5.1c</td>
<td>26.4a</td>
<td>18.7b</td>
<td>53.3a</td>
<td>38.7a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IR36 (Mod. Res.)</td>
<td>0.6b</td>
<td>31.5b</td>
<td>40.8a</td>
<td>11.4b</td>
<td>28.7b</td>
<td>23.1ab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TN1 (Sus.)</td>
<td>65.2a</td>
<td>52.7a</td>
<td>22.8a</td>
<td>10.5b</td>
<td>29.9b</td>
<td>17.8b</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In a column, means followed by the same letter are not significantly different at \( P = 0.05 \); Duncan's [1955] multiple range test. Ip, phloem ingestion; TIp, trial ingestion in phloem; Ix, xylem ingestion; R, rest; S, salivation.

Ix-waveform (Fig. 1A)—produced as the insect was ingesting from the xylem as indicated by the salivary sheaths which terminated in the xylem vessels (Fig. 2A). During the Ix waveform, honeydew was excreted at an average of seven drops per minute.

TIp-waveform (Fig. 1B)—trial ingestion from the phloem. The salivary sheaths reached the phloem (Fig. 2B) but no sustained ingestion (>15 s) was observed. Examination of the leaf tissue indicated that 92% of the specimens had salivary sheaths in the phloem when the waveform was recorded (Table 1).

Ip-waveform (Fig. 1C)—sustained ingestion from the phloem and the salivary sheaths were observed to terminate in the phloem (Fig. 2C). Honeydew was excreted at an average of less than one drop per minute. This waveform is always preceded by the TIp waveform. However, on resistant plants only TIp was observed and no or very negligible periods of Ip activity occurred.

R-waveform (Fig. 3A)—recorded when the insect was resting with its stylets inserted into the leaf tissue, terminating in the mesophyll or air space (Fig. 2D). This activity was more commonly observed on R than on S varieties. No honeydew was excreted during this waveform and thus it is assumed that no ingestion occurred.

NF waveform (Fig. 3B)—the insect was not feeding, just sitting on the leaf with stylets outside of the leaf tissue but the rostrum may or may not have been touching the leaf surface as observed through the closed-circuit TV system.

Fig. 3C shows a typical start of probing activities of the insect on resistant varieties. The insect made 15 short duration test probes over a 12-min period before the stylets finally settled in the xylem.

Except on ASD7 the insect changed its activity more frequently on the resistant than on the susceptible varieties as indicated by the number of probes made (Table 2). On IR64, the insect changed activity the most (39 probes with range of 16 to 94) during a 3-h test period whereas on TN1, activity changed only 18 times making only 18 probes. Although ASD7 is resistant to *N. virescens*, the insect changed activity only 20 times (probes ranged from 3 to 46) and was not significantly different from TN1. It could be noted in Fig. 4 that the insects on ASD7 spent more time performing activities other than S and NF. Hence, the insects preferred to have their stylets inserted into the plant, which made them unable to make as many probes as those insects that spend more time in NF and could therefore attempt to make more probes in the plant.

Fig. 4 shows the variations in the percentage of time spent for each activity. Although the number of probes containing each of the waveforms did not vary significantly, there were significant differences in the total duration of each waveform (Table 2).

The most striking difference among the varieties tested was in the Ip waveform where the insect had significantly more frequent and longer (Fig. 4) sustained phloem feeding on susceptible TN1 as compared with the other varieties. On TN1, the insect spent most of its time feeding in the phloem and when it was not feeding in the phloem, it preferred having its stylets in the phloem as indicated by the TIp waveforms (Fig. 4; Table 2). Furthermore, the insect spent the least time in R and NF waveforms on TN1; NF was the main waveform on the resistant IR60 and IR64 and moderately resistant IR36 (Fig. 4). Ix or xylem-feeding did not vary much among the varieties. The duration of TIp activity was higher on ASD7 than on IR60.

When we compared the waveforms that represented feeding (Ix and Ip) and other activities (TIp, R, S, and NF), it was evident that insects on TN1 spent more time (50% of total time) feeding, whether on the xylem or phloem, as compared with insects on the resistant and moderately resistant varieties. In the latter variety (IR36) only about 25% of the 3-h test period was spent feeding (Fig. 5).

Honeydew Excretion. Honeydew was only excreted when the insect was feeding either from the xylem or phloem and not when stylets were located in the other tissues. The rate of excretion as indicated by the number of honeydew droplets differed significantly between xylem and phloem feeding. When the probable xylem ingestion (Ix) waveform was produced, honeydew droplets ranged from 1 to 34 drops per minute with an average of 7 drops per minute. When the probable phloem ingestion...
Fig. 4. Percentage of time spent for each activity (or waveform) on different rice varieties. Ip, phloem ingestion; TIp, trial ingestion from the phloem; Ix, xylem ingestion; R, rest; S, salivation and NF, not feeding. Bars of the same waveforms with the same letters are not significantly different at \( P = 0.05 \), Duncan's (1955) multiple range test.

Fig. 5. Percentage of the total time the cohort spent for feeding (xylem or phloem feeding) vs other activities (TIp, R, S, and NF) on different rice varieties. Bars with the same letters are not significantly different at \( P = 0.05 \), Duncan's (1955) multiple range test.

Discussion

The EMIF device and the TV system used to study the feeding behavior of *N. virescens* provided a clear distinction among the feeding sites of the insect on susceptible and resistant varieties. Because of the ability of the system to record different waveforms indicative of the feeding activity of the insect, and by confirmation of the waveforms with histological techniques, an accurate identification of the waveforms and termination sites of the stylet sheaths was possible.

While feeding on susceptible TN1, the insect spent most of its time ingesting from the phloem (Ip), almost 2.5 times more than ingesting from the xylem. Phloem feeding on these plants ranged from 6 to 79 min per insect. On the resistant varieties, however, xylem ingestion was the main feeding activity lasting a maximum of 74 min. On the moderately resistant IR36, a small amount of phloem ingestion was observed and none was observed on the resistant varieties. The ability of the insect to produce the TIp waveform on the resistant and moderately resistant varieties showed that the stylets were able to reach the phloem although the insect did not ingest during such activity. This observation is very important in regard to tungro virus transmission. If *N. virescens* feeds on susceptible plants infected with tungro virus for several minutes, it can readily transmit the virus to healthy plants. Although it has been reported that phloem feeding is positively correlated with tungro virus transmission (Heinrichs & Rapusas 1984), the possibility of transmitting the virus to resistant plants during the TIp activity cannot be precluded. Higher frequency of the TIp activity on IR64 than on IR60 was observed in this study. This probably is the reason that IR64 succumbed to a higher tungro virus infection than IR60 in previous tests (H.R., unpublished data).

It was speculated by Kawabe (1985) that the sieve elements of the resistant plant contain a feed-
ing deterrent because, although the styles reached the sieve elements, the insect was not able to ingest phloem sap. Furthermore, a biochemical or physiological mechanism (e.g., phytoalexin, mechanical barrier) other than a feeding deterrent may exist in phloem sieve elements of resistant plants preventing N. virescens from ingesting phloem sap.

Detailed studies on biochemical bases of resistance are now being undertaken. We hope that these studies will provide information as to the factors determining the N. virescens feeding behavior on resistant varieties.

Khan & Saxena (1985) conducted a study similar to ours using a DC monitor rather than AC. Three common rice varieties (resistant ASD7, moderately resistant IR36, and susceptible TN1) were used in the two studies. Khan and Saxena reported five times as many probes on ASD7 and IR36 as we reported, but the number of probes on TN1 was similar in the two studies. This difference may be due to the high voltage (1.5 V) of the DC monitor in their study compared with the low voltage (100–500 mV) in our study. The high voltage may cause increased irritability of the insects resulting in repeated, short test probes on less acceptable resistant plants.

Although our study and that of Khan and Saxena conclude that N. virescens primarily ingests phloem sap in susceptible varieties and xylem sap in resistant varieties, the waveforms produced in the two studies differ. In their study the voltage levels produced during xylem ingestion were high and voltage levels produced during phloem feeding were low, whereas we obtained opposite results in our study. Khan and Saxena classified their DC waveforms for N. virescens according to Chang’s (1978) description of AC-obtained waveforms using sugarcane leafhoppers Perkinsiella saccharicida (Kirikaldy) as the test insect. The different waveforms obtained using AC and DC monitors may be due to the differences between the two systems (e.g., the supplied potential, input resistance of the amplifier, and the sensitivity of the recording equipment) (Kimmins 1989).
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